



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,105	11/07/2001	James R. Mansfield	38079.0016	4906
25227	7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			IMAM, ALI M	
1650 TYSON SUITE 300	NS BOULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, '	VA 22102		3737	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	3 <b>6</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/986,105	MANSFIELD ET	MANSFIELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ali Imam	3737	\ . W .			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspond nce a	oddress -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered tim  THS from the mailing date of this  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (	<u>07 November 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 7	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-59 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-59 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-38</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>35-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		_				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2001</u>			ıminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	J., ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form F	710-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don since a specific reference was included in th 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don reference was included in the first sentence	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. te first sentence of the specific e provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	application No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provision ation or in an Application een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Notice of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice  Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper Notice  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper N nformal Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/986,105

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-38, drawn to a hyperspectral image calibration pad, classified in class 600, subclass 407.
  - II. Claims 39-54, drawn to a method of calibrating hyperspectral imaging of a sample, classified in class 382, subclass 103.
  - III. Claims 55-59, drawn to a system for obtaining spectral information, classified in class 356, subclass 300.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, such as invention I is directed to the composition of a calibration pad wherein inventions II and III are specifically directed to the method and system for calibrating a hyperspectral image.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with James Remenick, Reg. No. 36,902 on 11/3/3 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-38.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,105

Art Unit: 3737

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 39-59 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedman et al. (US 6,111,640) or Anderson et al. (US 5,879,294).

Hedman teaches in col. 3, line 30 - col. 4, line 67, a disposable hyperspectral imaging calibration device/panel (40) that can be selectively positionable for calibration of a spectrometer wherein the calibration device comprises a reflectance standard (col. 4, lines 8-10) having a predetermined reflectance or emission value at a plurality of known wavelengths over a known wavelength range (col. 4, lines 49-53). It is inherent that the known spectral reflection taught by Hedman (col. 4, line 9) would include at least 5% reflectance or emission since the panel (40) is mounted on the cover (36) which has substantially 100% reflectance. Hedman further teaches

Art Unit: 3737

that known wavelength range is from 0.4-2.5 micron (400-2500 nanometers) (col. 1, lines 24) which inherently includes visible wavelengths through infrared wavelengths.

Alternatively, Anderson teaches a disposable hyperspectral imaging calibration device (1212) comprising a reflectance standard having a predetermined reflectance or emission value at a plurality of known wavelengths over a known wavelength range (col. 10, lines 30-36; col. 12, lines 15-35). Anderson further teaches that the wavelengths are selected from IR wavelength and that the reflectance is at least 5% (see Fig. 20).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-34 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art, alone or in combination teaches or suggests the specific combination and composition of the calibration pad recited in claim 1.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Imam whose telephone number is 703-305-0028. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Th., 8:00- 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ali Imam

Application/Control Number: 09/986,105

Art Unit: 3737

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

AMI 11/14/3